Proaxis Therapy ACLR Protocol Update



January 20, 2012



Objectives

- Understand essential components of Phase I of ACL rehab specifically quad activation and full extension
- Establish objective criteria for progression from Phase I



Ultimate Goals

Give each athlete the opportunity to be successful







What's the big deal?

- Returning to sport
 - 33% attempted sport at pre-injury level at one year after ACLR

(Ardern 2010)

64% returned to prior level of sports at
5 years after ACLR

(Lee 2008)



What's the big deal?

- Athletes with prior ACL injury are at <u>increased risk</u> of future ACL injury
 - 6-25% re-injury rate (Paterno 2010)
 - 15% who RTP within 7 months suffered re-tear (Laboute 2010)
 - Risk increases in younger athletes with allograft (*Kaeding 2011*)



Multi-factorial Risk

- Surgical influences
- Rehab influences
- Athlete influences

Let's focus on rehab and preventing a lessthan-desired outcome



Overview of Timelines and Focus

- Phase I (0–6 weeks)
 - Motion and mobility
 - Minimize pain/swelling to activate quad
- Phase II (7–14 weeks)
 - Muscular endurance
- Phase III (14–20 weeks)
 - Strength and functional control/absorption
- Phase IV (20+ weeks)
 - Return to participation progression
 - Full clearance (6 months)



When is the ideal time for the Sport Test?

Phase I	Phase II	Phase III	Phase IV
0-6 weeks	6-14 weeks	14-20 weeks	20 + weeks
Motion Protection Full extension	Endurance	Strength/Power	Return to Sport Progression



Overview of Timelines

Therapy is an accordion

- Patient and surgery-specific
- Concomitant procedures
- Accelerated timelines
 - If criteria are met and science allows

Create matched expectations with the patient

Value of written plan/criteria



Phase I – 0–6 weeks post op

Goals

- Improve motion, especially extension
- Activate the quad
- Minimize/eliminate pain and swelling
- Allow tissue healing/protect reconstruction
- Public Enemy #1
 - Swelling
 - Lack of extension
 - Poor quad activation



Phase I Keys

CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT FOR SUCCESS

ATTENTION TO DETAIL





Criteria to progress to Phase II

"Normal" Knee

- 1. Full extension, near normal flexion
- 2. Straight leg raise with no lag 3 sets x 30 reps
- 3. Mid-patellar girth < 1 cm difference
- 4. Normal patellar mobility
- 5. No heat on joint
- 6. Symmetrical gait
- 7. Minimal pain (< 2/10)
- 8. Single leg balance x 60 seconds on firm surface



Criteria to progress to Phase II

"Normal" Knee

- 1. Full extension, near normal flexion
- 2. Straight leg raise with no lag 3 sets x 30 reps
- 3. Mid-patellar girth < 1 cm difference
- 4. Normal patellar mobility
- 5. No heat on joint
- 6. Symmetrical gait
- 7. Minimal pain (< 2/10)
- 8. Single leg balance x 60 seconds on firm surface



The pre-operative ACL-injured knee

- Pre-operative extension deficit predicts post-op extension deficit at 6 months (McHugh 1998, Mauro 2008)
- Pre-operative strength deficit predicts post-op strength deficit at 2 years

(Eitzen 2008, de Jong 2007)

Pre-operative synovitis correlates to post-op arthrofibrosis (Mayr 2004)



Preoperative Indicators of Motion Loss and Weakness Following Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

Malachy P. McHugh, MA¹ Timothy F. Tyler, MS, PT, ATC² Gilbert W. Gleim, PhD³ Stephen J. Nicholas, MD⁴

Preoperative	Postoperative Pts ≥5° Loss	Postoperative Pts ≥10° Loss
Group 1: Full extension $(N = 42)$	N = 3 (7%)	N≈0
Group 2: 1–4° loss ($N = 20$) Group 3: \geq 5° loss ($N = 40$)	N = 8 (40%)* N = 13 (33%)*	N = 0 $N = 2 (5%)$



Motion Loss Exists after ACLR

25% had > 5° loss at 4 weeks post-op (Mauro 2008)

12% have >5° loss at 2-year follow-up (Kocher 2002)

10-14% have >3° deficit at 7 years post-op (Roe 2005)

proaxistherapy™

Loss of Extension is Persistent

 Extension loss at 4 weeks post-op predicts extension loss at 12 weeks post-op (Garrison unpublished 2011)

 Extension loss did not change between 2-year and 10-year follow-up (Shelbourne 2009)

 48% of patients with extension loss at 4 weeks required arthroscopy to achieve normal extension (Mauro 2008)



Extension Deficits Matter

- 71% of patients with an extension deficit > 2° or flexion deficit > 5° had less than normal xrays (Shelbourne 2009)
- Quad strength is decreased if motion is less than normal

(Shelbourne 2009)

 Patient satisfaction decreased in patients with >5° extension deficit (Kocher 2002)







 "performing an ACL reconstruction without also obtaining full knee range of motion might cause a worse result than doing no reconstruction at all" – Shelbourne AJSM 2009



Treatment Strategies to Gain Extension

- An ounce of prevention is worth a *ton* of cure
- Early and often
 - Patient education
 - Begin supervised physical therapy day one
 - Home program with specific parameters



Manual Therapy

Mobilization improves ROM and decreases pain after ACL reconstruction (Noel 2000)

 Mobilization decreases knee pain and stiffness, improves function (Devle 2000)



Patellar and Tendon Mobilization









DON'T JUST RECOVER. CONQUER.

proaxistherapy™

What about the brace?

 Several prospective studies show post-op bracing may not be necessary (Kartus 1997, Moller 2001, Risberg 1999)

<u>But</u>...none of these studies have focused on extension ROM





What about the brace?

- Melegati et al 2003
 - Post-op brace locked 0°-90°
 - Post-op brace locked at 0° for first week, then 0°-90°

 Group with brace locked at 0° had significantly more extension ROM at 4 weeks and 8 weeks post-op





Is locked at 0° enough?

Can a post-operative brace in slight hyperextension prevent extension deficit after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction?

C. Mikkelsen G. Cerulli M. Lorenzini G. Bergstrand S. Werner

A prospective randomised study

Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc (2003) 11: 318-321

Brace	Mean	Range
0°	+2.8°	+1.5° to +4°
-5°	-2.5°	+1.5° to -7°
-10°	-4.1°	–0.5° to –9°



Is locked at 0° enough?

Mikkelsen et al 2003

• 44 patients BTB ACLR

Brace at 0° or -5° for at least 3 weeks post-op

- 12-week follow-up
 - Group locked at -5°, 9% had ext loss of <u>></u>2°
 - Group locked at 0°, 54% had ext loss of <u>></u>2°



Brace or no brace, I should stop at 0° right?

- 1993 IKDC criteria
 - Calculated extension loss relative to anatomic 0°
- > 2000 IKDC criteria
 - Compared to opposite knee including hyperextension
- Therefore, a patient at 0° may still have a loss of extension
- Average HS athlete has 5°-6° of hyperextension (DeCarlo 1997)



Reliability of Heel-Height Measurement for Documenting Knee Extension Deficits*

Theodore F. Schlegel,†‡ MD, Martin Boublik,† MD, Richard J. Hawkins,†§ MD, and J. Richard Steadman,†§ MD



KNEE EXTENSION MATTERS!



Symmetric extension

Extension lag







Attack the Quad

Activation is the key, not strength

Emphasize activation at terminal knee extension





Attack the Quad





Terminal Knee Extension



What is good quad function?

GOOD	BAD
Full knee extension	Lacks full extension

- No extension lag
- Controlled throughout



- Lag
- Poor control





Plan Your Therapy Session

Intentional Exercise Order

- Patellar complex mobilization with heel prop
- Manual extension
- Activate the quad in new ROM
- Terminal knee extension progression
- Then bike, flexion ROM
- Finish session with passive extension stretch such as prone hang/heel prop/calf stretch


Quadricep Function

 Arthrogenic muscle inhibition present after trauma to knee

(Palmieri-Smith 2008, Hart 2010)

- Inhibition caused by intra-articular effusion (Torry 2000)
- Inhibition begins with as little as 10mL effusion (Rice 2010)



Clinical Biomechanics Award 1999

Intra-articular knee joint effusion induces quadriceps avoidance gait patterns ¹

Michael R. Torry^{a,*}, Michael J. Decker^a, Randall W. Viola^b, Dennis D. O'Connor^a, J. Richard Steadman^b

Clinical Biomechanics 15 (2000) 147-159



- Cryotherapy and TENS have been shown to disinhibit quad after effusion (Hopkins 2001, Rice 2009)
- Biofeedback and cueing expedite return of quad function (Draper 1990)
- Superimposed NMES superior to no e-stim after ACLR (Feil 2011)





Phase I



Quad Set



Straight Leg Raise



Patella and Tendon Mobilization



Wall Slides



Calf Stretching for Extension



How early is too early?







Consider:

- 1. Phase I goals
- 2. Bone bruise/Articular cartilage injury
- 3. Strength goals



The case initiating joint loading exercise (CKC) too early

- Doesn't marry our goals very well
- Stimulus for inflammation, swelling
- Stimulus for scarring
- Risk of stiffness and motion loss
- Long term goals are strength; not short-term
- Condition of articular cartilage/bone bruise

• Are we creating an environment for success?



Prevalence of bone bruises with ACL tear



Figure 4. Pooled prevalence of bone bruising at each anatomical location in the knee. The only significant difference between the contact and noncontact groups was at the lateral tibial plateau.

(Viskontas AJSM 2008, Speer AJSM 1992)



Articular cartilage injury with ACL tear

Study	Study Type	No. of Patients	Acuity	Prevalence
Indelicato and Bittar ¹⁶ (1985)	Retrospective case series	44	<6 wk since injury	23%
Engebretsen et al. ¹ (1993)	Case series	18	"Acute rupture"	46%
Spindler et al. ⁴ (1993)	Prospective case series	54	<3 mo since injury	28%
Drongowski et al. ¹⁵ (1994)	Retrospective case series	83	"Acute"	29%
Joseph et al. ⁸ (2008)	Retrospective case series	350	<3 mo since injury	16%

TABLE 1. Studies Reporting Prevalence of Articular Cartilage Injury in Acute ACL Tears

Brophy Arthroscopy 2010



Strength Goals

- Strength gains are focus of Phase II-III
 - Strength and hypertrophy gains made in 4-6 weeks (McArdle et al 1995)
 - Return to sport clearance at 6 months
 - Are the first 3-4 weeks too early to load the joint?
 - Aggressive progressions anecdotal cause of anterior knee pain at 3-4 months



I. Phase I: Immediate Post-Operative Phase

POD 1

- A.. Brace EZ wrap brace at zero degrees extension immediate post-op.
- B. Weight Bearing Two crutches as tolerated (less 50%)
- C. Exercises
 - 1. Ankle pumps
 - 2. Passive knee extension to zero
 - Straight leg raises
 - Quad sets, glut sets
 - 5. Hamstring Stretch.
- D. Muscle Stimulation muscles stimulation to quads (4 hours per day) during quad sets
- E. CPM Zero to 90 degrees as tolerated
- F. Ice and Evaluation Ice 20 minutes our of every hour and elevate with knee in extension.

POD 2 to 4

- A. Brace EZ wrap brace locked at zero degrees extension
- B. Weight bearing Two crutches as tolerated
- C. Range of Motion Patient out of brace 4-5 times daily to perform self ROM
- D. Exercises
 - 1. Multi-angle isometrics at 90, 60, 30 degrees (for quads)
 - 2. Intermittent ROM exercises continued
 - Patellar mobilization
 - Ankle pumps
 - 5. Straight leg raises (all 4 directions)
 - 6. Standing weight shifts and mini squats (0-30 ROM)
 - 7. Hamstring curls
 - 8. Continue quad scts/glut sets
- E. Muscle Stimulation Electrical muscle stimulation to quads (6 hours per day) during quad sets, multi-angle isometrics and SLR's
- F. CPM zero-90 degrees
- G. Ice and evaluation ice 20 minutes out of every hour and elevate with knee in extension.





Criteria to progress to Phase II

"Normal" Knee

- 1. Full extension, near normal flexion
- 2. Straight leg raise with no lag 3 sets x 30 reps
- 3. Mid-patellar girth < 1 cm difference
- 4. Normal patellar mobility
- 5. No heat on joint
- 6. Symmetrical gait
- 7. Minimal pain (< 2/10)
- 8. Single leg balance x 60 seconds on firm surface



Non-Negotiable Key to Success

Collaboration of the team

- Athlete
- Physician, Physical Therapist, Athletic Trainer
- Parent, Coach





Quad Deficits Exist after ACLR

- Quad deficits of 12-40% present at 6 months post-op
- Quad deficits of 5-27% present at one year post-op

(Palmieri–Smith 2008)





DON'T JUST RECOVER. CONQUER.

proaxistherapy™

ROM

proaxistherapy

- Early joint motion after ACLR leads to:
 - Pain reduction
 - Less scar formation, joint contracture
 - ↓ adverse articular cartilage changes
 - Earlier and greater ROM restoration than traditional delayed programs



Calf Stretching



Heel Slides

(Beynnon, 2005; Shaw, 2002)



Quadriceps Function

 Isometric quad strengthening significantly improves restoration of ROM and knee stability

(Shaw, 2005)

Inadequate quad strength results in altered gait pattern after ACLR

```
(Lewek, 2002)
```

Quad deficits common and often seen bilaterally

(Hart, 2010)



Considerations for Weightbearing

- Isolated ACLR
 - Early WBing (Tyler, 1998)
- Bone bruise (16–80%)
 - 1 effusion, 1 days to normal gait/ROM, 1 pain scores at 4 weeks after injury

(Brophy 2010; Beynnon, 2005; Fang, 2001; Johnson, 2000)

 Matched crutch & brace progression





Potential for Development of OA



- Type of meniscus tear
 - Lateral in acute ACL
 - Radial tear
 - Medial in chronic ACL
 - Peripheral longitudinal tear
 - "ACL is the "watchdog" of the medial meniscus" (MacIntosh)
 - Peripheral posterior horns are most common in acute and chronic

Cerabona et al. 1998; Thompson & Fu 1993; Fowler & Regan 1987;

P proaxistherapy™

Potential for Development of OA

- OA more common in the knee than any other joint
 - Progressive focal degradation of articular cartilage
 - Clinical manifestations
 - Pain
 - Stiffness
 - Crepitation





Articular cartilage injury with ACL tear

Study	Study Type	No. of Patients	Acuity	Prevalence
Indelicato and Bittar ¹⁶ (1985) Engebretsen et al. ¹ (1993)	Retrospective case series Case series	44 18	<6 wk since injury "Acute rupture"	23% 46%
Spindler et al. ⁴ (1993)	Prospective case series	54	<3 mo since injury	28%
Joseph et al. ⁸ (2008)	Retrospective case series	350	<3 mo since injury	29% 16%

TABLE 1. Studies Reporting Prevalence of Articular Cartilage Injury in Acute ACL Tears

Brophy et al, 2010



Normalize Gait





Moving on....

...let's talk about PHASE 4!







Sport Cord Test

 Developed by Howard Head Sports Medicine in CO and Proaxis Therapy in SC

• A <u>Functional</u> Test

- 3 minutes Single leg squat
- 90 seconds Lateral agility with Sport Cord
- 2 minutes Forward jog with Sport Cord
- 2 minutes Backward jog with Sport Cord
- NEW objective scoring for each component
 - Must score <u>46/54</u> to pass

(Wahoff et al 2011; Garrison et al 2011; Beecher et al 2010; Saltzer et al 2000)



Sport Cord Test



010. Stalzer et al 2000)



Passing the Sport Cord Test

- Allows an advanced agility & controlled play progression to begin
- Passing sport cord test should not equal immediate, unrestricted participation
- Must be combined with sport-specific and position-specific demands



Sport Cord Test

- Be on the lookout for....
 - Fatigue
 - Posting
 - Asymmetry
 - Hip drop
 - Trunk:
 - lateral flexion
 - contralateral shoulder flexion



Control & Endurance





Knee excursion









Criteria for Progression to Phase 4

- Sport cord Test $\geq 46/54$
- Symmetric running gait
 - Audibly rhythmic foot strike without gross asymmetries in visual kinematics when running between 6–10 mph
- Y-Balance Test: anterior reach within 4cm of uninvolved limb





Phase 4 Keys

◆Controlled, predictable → Unpredictable
◆Individual drills → Team Drills
◆Non-contact → Contact

 Supervised rehab ~1x/wk for 4-6 wks (individualized program)
 Coordination with ATC



High Risk Movement Patterns: What to look for?



- ↓ Knee flexion
- Tibial rotation (toe-in / toe-out)
- ↓ Trunk flexion
- ↓ Joint angular displacement (stiff)
- Asymmetrical foot contact (single leg)

Courtesy of Darin Padua PhD, ATC





Criteria for Return to Play

- Hand-held dynamometry within 90% of uninvolved limb
 - knee extensors
 - knee flexors
 - hip abductors
- Single hop for distance within 90% of uninvolved limb
- LESS ≤ 5
- Y-Balance Test: 94% composite when fatigued



Hand-held dynamometer



Hip Abduction



Knee Flexion



Knee Extension


Diagrammatic representation of the series of 4 hop tests: single hop for distance, 6-m timed hop, triple hop for distance, and crossover hop for distance.



proaxistherapy

Factors Influencing Re-Injury

- Gender
 - Males = Females (Shelbourne et al, 2009)
- Timing of Return to Play (RTP)
 - RTP < 6 mos = > 6 mos (Shelbourne et al, 2009)
 - **î** RIR with RTP < 7 mos + high demand activity (*Laboute et al, 2010*)
- Sport Type / Physical Activity Level
 - ¹ RIR in high demand activity (pivoting, cutting, landing, jumping) (Laboute et al, 2010; Shelbourne et al, 2009)
 - **1 RIR** in basketball (52%) *(Shelbourne, 2009)*
 - Soccer (15%) and other sports (6.6%)

Slide Courtesy of Darin Padua PhD, ATC





Landing Error Scoring System (LESS)

WHAT

- Functional test evaluating jump-landing mechanics
- Identify risky movement patterns
- WHEN
 - Phase 4, RTS clearance
- GOAL
 - LESS ≤ 5







What does the LESS tell us?

Quality of movement matters

ACLR movement ≠ Healthy movement

People move differently following ACLR Causation or compensation?





LESS...*how?*

- Drop height: 30 centimeter box
- Horizontal distance = 50% body height
- Jump for maximal vertical height immediately after landing
- Do not provide feedback or coaching on landing technique unless task is performed incorrectly
- After task instruction, allow subject to perform practice trials until the task is successfully performed



LESS

- A successful jump is characterized by:
 - Jumping off of both feet from the box
 - Jumping forward, but not vertically to reach the ground
 - Completing the task in a fluid motion
- Video Analysis
 - Record 3 trials (using 2 cameras)
 - Grade videos on 17 items
- Live Analysis
 - Grade on 10-item score sheet



LESS





			Camera	Error	LESS
	LESS Item	Operational Definition	View	Condition	Score
1	Knee flexion angle at initial contact	At the time point of initial contact, if the knee of the test leg is flexed more than 30 degrees, score YES. If the knee is not flexed more than 30 degrees, score NO.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1
2	Hip flexion angle at initial contact	At the time point of initial contact, if the thigh of the test leg is in line with the trunk then the hips are not flexed and score NO. If the thigh of the test leg is flexed on the trunk, score YES.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1
3	Trunk flexion angle at initial contact	At the time point of initial contact, if the trunk is vertical or extended on the hips, score NO. If the trunk is flexed on the hips, score YES.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1
4	Ankle plantar- flexion angle at initial contact	If the foot of the test leg lands toe to heel, score YES. If the foot of the test leg lands heel to toe or with a flat foot, score NO.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1
5	Knee valgus angle at initial contact	At the time point of initial contact, draw a line straight down from the center of the patella. If the line goes through the midfoot, score NO. If the line is medial to the midfoot, score YES.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0
6	Lateral trunk flexion angle at initial contact	At the time point of initial contact, if the midline of the trunk is flexed to the left or the right side of the body, score YES. If the trunk is not flexed to the left or right side of the body, score NO.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0
7	Stance width – Wide	Once the entire foot is in contact with the ground, draw a line down from the tip of the shoulders. If the line on the side of the test leg is inside the foot of the test leg then greater than shoulder width (wide), score YES. If the test foot is internally or externally rotated, grade the stance width based on heel placement.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0

8	Stance width – Narrow	Once the entire foot is in contact with the ground, draw a line down from the tip of the shoulders. If the line on the side of the test leg is outside of the foot then score less than shoulder width (narrow), score YES. If the test foot is internally or externally rotated, grade the stance width based on heel placement.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0
9	Foot position - Toe In	If the foot of the test leg is internally more than 30 degrees between the time period of initial contact and max knee flexion, then score YES. If the foot is not internally rotated more than 30 degrees between the time period of initial contact to max knee flexion, score NO.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0
10	Foot position - Toe Out	If the foot of the test leg is externally rotated more than 30 degrees between the time period of initial contact and max knee flexion, then score YES. If the foot is not externally rotated more than 30 degrees between the time period of initial contact to max knee flexion, score NO.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0
11	Symmetric initial foot contact	If one foot lands before the other or if one foot lands heel to toe and the other lands toe to heel, score NO. If the feet land symmetrically, score YES.	Front	No	Y=0 N=1
12	Knee flexion displacement	If the knee of the test leg flexes more than 45 degrees from initial contact to max knee flexion, score YES. If the knee of the test leg does not flex more than 45 degrees, score NO.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1
13	Hip flexion at max knee flexion	If the thigh of the test leg flexes more on the trunk from initial contact to max knee flexion angle, score YES.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1
14	Trunk flexion at max knee flexion	If the trunk flexes more from the point of initial contact to max knee flexion, score YES. If the trunk does not flex more, score NO.	Side	No	Y=0 N=1

15	Knee valgus displacement	At the point of max knee valgus on the test leg, draw a line straight down from the center of the patella. If the line runs through the great toe or is medial to the great toe, score YES. If the line is lateral to the great toe, score NO.	Front	Yes	Y=1 N=0
16	Joint displacement	Watch the sagittal plane motion at the hips and knees from initial contact to max knee flexion angle. If the subject goes through large displacement of the trunk, hips, and knees then score SOFT. If the subject goes through some trunk, hip, and knee displacement but not a large amount, then AVERAGE. If the subject goes through very little, if any trunk, hip, and knee displacement, then STIFF.	Side	Average or Stiff (double penalty for Stiff)	Soft=0 Av.=1 Stiff=2
17	Overall impression	Score EXCELLENT if the subject displays a soft landing and no frontal plane motion at the knee, Score POOR if the subject displays a stiff landing and large frontal plane motion at the knee. All other landings, score AVERAGE.	Side, Front	Average or Poor (double penalty for Poor)	Ex.=0 Av.=1 Poor=2



LESS

LESS Real-Time

- Perform 4 trials
- Grade real-time on 10 items
 - T1: Frontal (Items 1-3) Stance width, foot rotation, and initial foot contact
 - T2: Frontal (Items 4–5) Knee and trunk frontal– plane motion
 - T3: Sagittal (Items 6-7) Foot position when landing and knee sagittal-plane motion
 - T4: Sagittal (Item 8) Trunk sagittal-plane motion



Frontal-Plane Motion	Sagittal-Plane Motion
1. Stance width	6. Initial landing of feet
□ Normal (0) □ Wide (1) □ Narrow (1)	 Toe to heel (0) Heel to toe (1) Flat (1)
2. Maximum foot-rotation position	7. Amount of knee-flexion displacement
 Normal (0) Externally rotated (1) Internally rotated (1) 	□ Large (0) □ Average (1) □ Small (2)
3. Initial foot contact	8. Amount of trunk-flexion displacement
□ Symmetric (0) □ Not symmetric (1)	□ Large (0) □ Average (1) □ Small (2)
4. Maximum knee-valgus angle	9. Total joint displacement in the sagittal plane
□ None (0) □ Small (1) □ Large (2)	□ Soft (0) □ Average (1) □ Stiff (2)
 5. Amount of lateral trunk flexion None (0) Small to moderate (1) 	 10. Overall impression Excellent (0) Average (1) Poor (2)

DON'T JUST RECOVER. CONQUER.

proaxistherapy™



Knee Flexion



Knee Valgus



Narrow Stance



Wide Stance



Hip/Trunk Flexion



Toe In



Lat. Trunk Flexion



Toe Out





LESS – incorrect





LESS – correct





Star Excursion Balance Test (Y-BALANCE)

- First described by Gary Gray 1995
- Detects functional performance deficits associated with LE pathology
- Series of LE reaching tasks that challenge postural control, strength, proprioception and ROM





Star Excursion Balance Test

- Has been used to identify Chronic Ankle Instability (CAI)
 - 2.5-4 cm side to side difference
 - Altered neuromuscular control at knee at hip
 - Fatigue amplified side to side difference

Olmstead 2002, Gribble 2004



Practicality

- Pre-participation Physicals
- Injury Prevention Screens
- Pre/Post Measures for Functional Rehabilitation
- Multi-sport Application
- Return to Sport Testing



Y-Balance Test



Reliable and predictive of lower extremity injury risk:
 2-6x increase in injury risk if unsuccessful in test.

(Plisky, Rauh, Kaminski, Underwood, JOSPT 2006)



Phase 4: test when fatigued





Unpredictable environment still needed



Figure 19. Examples of dynamic valgus positions that athletes post anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (ACL-R) may demonstrate during agility and unanticipated cutting techniques. The strength and conditioning specialist should provide active feedback to the athlete to encourage them to perform reactive training with limited knee valgus positions.

Myer et al, 2008



An athlete <u>must</u> meet all demands of his/her sport before stepping on the field





Sport Specific Training





Agility Drills

Unpredictable Cutting Drills Myer et al, 2008









Criteria for Return to Play

- Hand-held dynamometry within 90% of uninvolved limb
 - knee extensors
 - knee flexors
 - hip abductors
- Single hop for distance within 90% of uninvolved limb
- LESS ≤ 5
- Y-Balance Test: 94% composite when fatigued



Ideal Athlete RTP Flow

MD – Medical Clearance

PT - Functional Clearance/ Return to Participation

ATC - Competition Clearance/Return to Play



Influences

- Parents
- Coaches
- Timing
 - In-season (early/mid/late), playoffs, off-season
- Position
- Athlete's goals
 - Senior yr, college...







Remember....our ultimate goals

Return athlete to sport Decrease risk of subsequent ACL injury





Example of timeline

- Surgery: January 20
- Sport Cord Test: June 20
- Return to participation: July 20
- Full return to sport: August 20
- 7 months: surgery → sport
 ° risk?
 - too soon?
 - how to reintegrate?







THANK YOU! QUESTIONS?



